AIGH COUP LISHARY



# IN THE HIGH COURT OF MALAWI

## PRINCIPAL REGISTRY

### LAND CAUSE NUMBER 18 OF 2018

**BETWEEN:** 

**KONDWANI KAMANGA** 

AND

SNOWDEN MAGONJETSA

**MRS SOLOMON** 

MR CHAWEZA

# **REGISTERED TRUSTEES OF ANTIOCHEA CHURCH**

#### **CORAM: JUSTICE M.A. TEMBO**

Mwala, Counsel for the Claimant Tembo, Counsel for the 4<sup>th</sup> Defendant Mankhambera, Official Court Interpreter

#### ORDER

 This is this Court's order on the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant's application to set aside a default judgment entered in favour of the claimant on the defendants' failure to file a defence in this matter. The application is taken out under Order 12 Rule 21 of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules.

1

CLAIMANT

**1st DEFENDANT** 

2<sup>nd</sup> DEFENDANT

**3rd DEFENDANT** 

4<sup>th</sup> DEFENDANT

- 2. The claimant by a summons filed in 2018 sued the defendants in relation to a piece of land in Thyolo that the rest of the defendants sold to the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant. The claimant indicated that he had already bought the land from the rest of the defendants and that he was entitled to the said land as against the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant.
- 3. The claimant served the summons on a chief in the area where the land is located. Thereafter the claimant eventually obtained a default judgment in July, 2018 and an order enforcing the same in September 2020. It was only in October, 2021 that the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant managed to engage counsel to file the instant application after the claimant burnt down its church on the disputed land.
- 4. The main contention of the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant is that the default judgment must be set aside because the summons by which the claimant's case was commenced was never served on the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant.
- 5. The claimant contended on the contrary that the summons was duly served and that the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant has inordinately delayed in applying to set aside the default judgment and that therefore the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant's application must be declined.
- 6. This Court has considered Order 12 rule 21 (2) of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules and observes that, as submitted by both parties, an application to set aside a default judgment ought to be made within three months of the judgment being entered, failing which reasons for further delay must be explained and the Court will set aside the default judgment only where it is satisfied that it is in the interests of justice to do so.
- 7. In the circumstances of this case, it is not disputed that the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant was never served with the summons. The summons was served on the chief of the area. It must be noted that a summons must be served personally unless otherwise ordered by the Court. See Order 8 rule 3 of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules.
- 8. The claimant never served the summons herein personally. Rather he served on a chief of the area who is a third party. That was in contravention of the Rules. And this may account for the default by the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant in filing the defence to the claim which resulted in the default judgment.
- 9. Given the lack of service of the summons and all subsequent processes herein, this Court cannot give much weight to the claimant's contention that the 4<sup>th</sup>

defendant took inordinately long to apply to set aside the default judgment. It appears the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant only came to know of the claimant's case upon enforcement of the default judgment and even then the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant had to engage a lawyer and delayed a bit to get to this Court. The 4<sup>th</sup> defendant has satisfied this Court as to the cause of the delay in making the instant application and that it has a defence on the merits to the claimant's claim, as required by Order 21 rule 3 of the Courts (High Court) (Civil Procedure) Rules. The defence is that the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant duly acquired the land herein from the other defendants after carrying out due diligence on the same.

- 10. The default judgment is accordingly set aside as against the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant and the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant shall be at liberty to defend the claim.
- 11. The 4<sup>th</sup> defendant having already served its defence last month, this Court orders that service of the defence be dispensed with and that the matter proceeds to mediation.
- 12.In the foregoing circumstances, costs shall be for the 4<sup>th</sup> defendant since he never got served the summons according to the Rules.

Made in chambers at Blantyre this 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2022.

M.A. Tembo JUDGE